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While the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent restrictions have felt “unprecedented”¹ to many people in the United States, many people in the country are already familiar with having their lives hyperregulated.² Because this country has historically criminalized most forms of sex work,³ sex workers have had to find alternative methods to continue their work and earn income and access other necessities.⁴ As work on the street became heavily policed and with the proliferation of the internet, sex workers have increasingly turned to the web for work and community.⁵

Classified advertising websites like Craigslist and Backpage.com became places for sex workers to advertise their services and screen clients,⁶

---

¹ Gabrielle Wast is a 3L at the University of Pittsburgh School of Law.
⁴ Blunt & Wolf, supra note 2, at 4–5.
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making the industry an arguably safer place. However, in 2017, then-President Donald Trump signed Public Law 115-168 (FOSTA-SESTA), leading to many websites which hosted sex workers’ ads shutting down. Called the “Stop Enabling Sex Traffickers Act of 2017” (SESTA) in the Senate and the “Allow States and Victims to Fight Online Sex Trafficking Act of 2017” in the House of Representatives, the combined legislation was proposed in response to the idea that 47 U.S.C. § 230 (“Section 230”) created a safe harbor for websites that “promote[d] and facilitate[d] prostitution” and “allow[ed] the sale of sex trafficking victims” to occur on their pages without repercussions. However, the law has done little to prevent sex trafficking online and instead has negatively impacted the sex working community.

While sex workers themselves have come together and used their resources to examine the detrimental impact FOSTA-SESTA has had on their health and survival, legislators have been mostly silent on the law’s adverse effects. The only push by federal lawmakers to cure the impact of the legislation on sex workers was H.R. 5448, introduced at the end of 2019. The proposed bill, called the “FOSTA-SESTA Examination of Secondary Effects for Sex Workers Study Act,” or the “SAFE SEX Workers Study Act,” directed the Secretary of Health and Human Services to:

---
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study the impacts on the health and safety of people engaged in transactional sex resulting from the loss of access to interactive computer services (as defined in section 230(f) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 230(f))) in connection with the closure of websites (including Backpage.com) that host information related to consensual sexual exchange, on or after February 27, 2018, in anticipation of, or in response to, the enactment of the Allow States and Victims to Fight Online Sex Trafficking Act of 2017 (Public Law 115-164).18

The people who introduced the SAFE SEX Workers Study Act and its supporters touted their interest in protecting people in the U.S. who engage in transactional sex work.19 But research done by grassroots organizations showed that such a study would do nothing to protect sex workers who were being and had already been impacted by the effects of FOSTA-SESTA.20 The only suggested solution that could combat the immediate harms of FOSTA-SESTA was to repeal the statute completely.21

Beyond the passage of FOSTA-SESTA, the COVID-19 pandemic makes sex work even more dangerous.22 Unlike the non-criminalized workforce in the United States, sex workers typically do not have access to expected employment benefits like protection from discrimination, sick days, or health insurance.23 Additionally, sex workers are often from marginalized communities and may be unhoused or unable to maintain social distancing from essential workers.24 More significant is the new working-from-home phenomenon: Studies of people in non-criminalized employment settings found that over 42% could work from home to lessen the spread of COVID-
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20 See Blunt & Wolf, supra note 2.
21 Chamberlain, supra note 3, at 2209. Repealing FOSTA-SESTA completely would still fail to adequately compensate sex workers for the lost income and increased danger within the industry since the bill became law in 2018.
While that significant portion of the U.S. workforce has been able to work from home, many sex workers must leave the house and risk exposure to COVID-19.26 The disproportionate effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, compounded by the already apparent harms of FOSTA-SESTA on sex workers, reveal the need for change. While Congress has attempted to create some semblance of a response to protect this population,27 the SAFE SEX Workers Study Act does not even begin to undo the harms that the sex working community has faced as a result of FOSTA-SESTA. Additionally, the legislation did not contemplate a global pandemic. Thus, a bureaucratic research approach will further fail to protect sex workers. The quickest and least expensive solution will be to repeal FOSTA-SESTA. More drastic measures must be considered, but simply starting by considering the needs and wants of sex workers would begin to eliminate some of the harms the legislation has caused.

This Note considers the harms of FOSTA-SESTA on sex workers in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. I argue that past solutions or compromises, like the SAFE SEX Workers Act, are insufficient responses and far too slow. Further, I propose that the best solution for the immediate future is to repeal FOSTA-SESTA, and I discuss some alternative or further actions that should be considered. Additionally, I consider the social and structural barriers that may inhibit change and suggest steps forward.28

Part I of this Note details a brief legislative history and the context of FOSTA-SESTA. Part II provides a detailed analysis of different studies of the impact of the legislation on sex workers before the COVID-19 pandemic. Part III outlines the ongoing effects of the COVID-19 pandemic that

27 See SAFE SEX Workers Study Act, supra note 17.
28 If you are in a position to and would like to support the organizations working to support sex workers in the U.S. and around the world mentioned in this note, you can donate to the groups here: Hacking//Hustling at https://hackinghustling.org/store/; Butterfly Asian and Migrant Sex Workers Support Network at https://www.butterflysw.org/donate; Maggie’s Toronto Sex Workers Action Project at https://www.maggiesto.org/donate; Red Umbrella Fund at https://www.redumbrellafund.org/how-to-donate-to-red-umbrella-fund/; Slixa’s suggested organizations at https://www.slixa.com/blog/covid-19/covid19-financial-resources-sex-workers/; various sex worker mutual aid funds at https://docs.google.com/document/d/1FKve5gAEQo4hJEcRiTOYUzDqiBZTESvoKHCcIBv7mlY/edit.
exacerbated the harms sex workers were already facing after the passing of FOSTA-SESTA. Part IV discusses solutions and calls for action to protect sex workers.

I. A BRIEF HISTORY OF FOSTA-SESTA

The history of FOSTA-SESTA begins with the passage of the Communications Decency Act (CDA) of 1996, which sought to protect minors from obscene material online with the internet’s invention.29 The statute regulated internet speech, and the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) quickly challenged it.30 The U.S. Supreme Court struck down most of the CDA but left behind 47 U.S.C. § 230 (“Section 230”).31 Section 230 protected websites from civil liability from content created and posted by third parties on their platforms.32

As the internet grew, so did illicit online markets.33 Craigslist, perhaps known best as a website to purchase a neighbor’s unwanted belongings, created its “erotic services” section.34 Then other sites driven by sex work began popping up, most notably Backpage.com.35 As the popularity of these websites grew, ads for the sale of sex trafficking victims became more prevalent.36 In an attempt to quell sex trafficking through online spaces, the United States House or Representatives and Senate passed FOSTA and SESTA, respectively.37

Both FOSTA and SESTA intended to clarify the language of Section 230 to ensure that it would not allow protections to websites that hosted third-
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party sex trafficking content. The language that resulted in the version signed by then President Trump stated: “Whoever . . . owns, manages, or operates an interactive computer service . . . or conspires or attempts to do so, with the intent to promote or facilitate the prostitution of another person shall be fined under this title, imprisoned for not more than ten years, or both.” Note that while the bill was passed to target involuntary sex trafficking, the language of the final bill also targeted websites that have any content related to voluntary sex work.

While many legal battles led the public to begin questioning Section 230, the outcry for a bill like FOSTA-SESTA became particularly loud after a case in 2016 where a California Superior Court judge dismissed criminal charges against Backpage.com executives from then-state Attorney General Kamala Harris. Judge Michael Bowman specifically cited Section 230 as the reason for the dismissal of the suit. The decision resulted in a Senate investigation, and the rest of the national concern over sex trafficking through websites like Backpage.com is history.

II. FOSTA-SESTA’S ADVERSE EFFECTS ON SEX WORKERS

Both in the lead up to the passing of FOSTA-SESTA and after the bill became law, platforms across the internet that used to host sex workers’ content disappeared. The legislation also affected some dating apps and sites, like Craigslist Personals. As a result, studies showed a rise in the
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number of sex workers who were missing or dead. Without any help or guidance from society at large, organizations that support sex workers took it upon themselves to study the outcomes of FOSTA-SESTA.

For example, Hacking//Hustling, “a collective of sex workers working at the intersection of technology and social justice,” released a community report on the effects of removing Backpage.com and the passage of FOSTA-SESTA. The report studied two groups of sex workers, online sex workers and primarily street-based sex workers. Using both an online survey and verbal interviews, Hacking//Hustling gained insight into the effects of SESTA/FOSTA on 139 people. In their own words, sex workers described what they believed the new legislation did. Generally, those surveyed expressed feelings that the bill removed “key safety measures used by sex workers,” created “moral panic and perpetuate[d] whorephobia,” and did not prevent sex trafficking (the bill’s stated aim). About 70% of survey respondents noted that FOSTA-SESTA had decreased their ability to access the sex worker community, which they relied on for safety and as a way to financially support one another. In addition to physical security, about 72% of those surveyed said they faced financial insecurity since FOSTA-SESTA became law.

Within a month of the bill’s passage, thirteen sex workers went missing and two died from suicide. Those numbers, of course, do not include those sex workers whose disappearances or deaths have not been reported. Nor does it include the sex workers who have been assaulted, lost their housing, or access to necessities. Even more astonishing is the fact that, even with
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harm against “unintended targets,” the bill has done little to protect trafficking victims as it purported to do.\(^59\) Some law enforcement professionals have found it more challenging to investigate sex-trafficking cases because they cannot follow leads on the internet with the shutdown of websites like Backpage.com.\(^60\)

In 2019, a study correlated the use of Craigslist’s erotic services (ERS) section for sex work advertisements to a reduced rate of female homicide in the United States by 10–17%.\(^61\) The study, titled “Craigslist Reduced Violence against Women,” found that “ERS created an overwhelmingly safe environment for female sex workers—perhaps the safest in history.”\(^62\) Such an effect could have been from efficiency,\(^63\) composition,\(^64\) better ability to screen clients,\(^65\) or some combination of the three. The study concluded that the shutting down of ERS through legislation like FOSTA would have devastating effects, like moving offline and working with pimps or traffickers and increasing the risk of death and physical violence.\(^66\)

In a different investigation, the Washington Post found that shortly after the passage of FOSTA-SESTA, sex-trafficking ad numbers were close to where they started before Backpage.com shut down.\(^67\) Representative Ann Wagner (R-MO), who introduced FOSTA in the House, appeared in a video released by the House Judiciary Committee only three months after the bill was signed, estimating that some 90% of “sex-trafficking business and ads”
had been shut down thanks to FOSTA-SESTA.68 But the report found that a month after the video was posted, the rate of advertising related to sex-trafficking rose back by about 75%.69 While any decrease in the amount of sex-trafficking would be commendable, the Washington Post noted that the metrics used by Rep. Wagner included ads for sex work as well as trafficking.70 So, FOSTA-SESTA was signed to slow the rate of sex-trafficking transactions on the internet, but also lessened the number of ads for voluntary sex workers. Limiting the presence of voluntary sex workers online harmed sex workers, rather than slowing sex-trafficking, as predicted in the “Craigslist Reduced Violence Against Women” and Hacking//Hustling reports.71

The harmful effects of FOSTA-SESTA are not just felt by sex workers in the United States but abroad as well.72 Sex workers used Backpage.com in countries all over the globe, including New Zealand.73 Even though New Zealand decriminalized all sex work in 2003,74 sex workers in the country moved their advertising online like workers in the United States to communicate with their community and improve safety.75 A study of twenty-four sex workers in Auckland, New Zealand, published in 2020, found that the passage of FOSTA-SESTA and shutting down of Backpage.com pushed sex workers back to the streets where they “accepted clients they might otherwise avoid,” or entered “unsafe situations” to make ends meet.76 Unlike in the United States, sex workers in New Zealand had other online platforms
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to turn to, but those options were far too expensive for them to sell their services as they had before.\(^7\) This rise in pricing allowed sex work managers in Auckland to exploit workers who they knew were desperate for work they had lost from the shutdown of Backpage.com.\(^7\) The study concluded that, even though sex work in New Zealand has been decriminalized, FOSTA-SESTA and the resulting shutdown of Backpage.com negatively impacted sex workers in New Zealand by increasing their vulnerability to exploitation and pushing them back onto the streets.\(^7\)

III. COVID-19’S DISPARATE IMPACT ON SEX WORKERS

On March 11, 2021, newly elected President Joe Biden signed the American Rescue Plan of 2021.\(^8\) While CNN called the bill “historic,”\(^9\) there remains a notable similarity between this bill and the two signed by former President Donald Trump:\(^10\) The newest bill does not provide specific relief for the sex working community. Calls to ensure sex workers have “equal access to social protection schemes” have once again been ignored by the U.S. government.\(^11\)

While the government has failed to support sex workers throughout this pandemic, COVID-19 has exacerbated the health risks and social problems sex workers already faced.\(^12\) Because of their profession’s illicit nature, sex workers often do not have access to the same employment protections that other workers do.\(^13\) In addition to not having access to unemployment
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compensation like many people in the United States, demand for services has decreased due to social distancing.\textsuperscript{86} However, not all sex workers can adhere to the state and national guidelines if the result would mean a loss of necessary income to afford basic needs.\textsuperscript{87} And while some sex workers were able to move their services online, not everyone had that luxury.\textsuperscript{88}

In response, sex worker organizations and health care experts have proposed and innovated solutions to the problem.\textsuperscript{89} For example, public health scholars published a comment in May of 2020 suggesting tangible steps governments worldwide could take in collaboration with sex-worker-led organizations to mitigate the harms of COVID-19 against the community.\textsuperscript{90} The article recommends “structural interventions” that governments can implement to support sex workers during the pandemic through financial protection including ceasing prosecutions for sex work or minor drug offenses and increasing access to emergency housing.\textsuperscript{91} Additionally, the authors suggest specific health services that could limit the spread of COVID-19 throughout the sex-working community, like the distribution of personal protective equipment (PPE), the extension of healthcare services, and COVID-19 testing and contact tracing throughout the industry.\textsuperscript{92} The professionals propose that following these procedures would allow “sex workers to protect their health during this pandemic as equal citizens” to others receiving support during this time.\textsuperscript{93}

Without any particularized guidance from the government, sex-worker-led organizations have taken the initiative and created a set of COVID-19 suggestive guidelines for sex workers, clients, third parties, and allies.\textsuperscript{94} Sex workers are urged to decline symptomatic clients, consider alternative
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services that minimize face-to-face contact, wash their hands or use hand sanitizer when available and appropriate.95 Suggested practices for sex work clients include seeking alternative services (like online or phone sessions) and, most importantly, urging them not to be predatory by offering more money for riskier services during the pandemic.96 The guidance also suggests that third parties to sex work, like strip clubs, do not force workers to show up if they call in sick and waive any cancellation fees they typically enforce.97 All of these guidelines work together to protect sex workers’ physical health during the COVID-19 pandemic. Still, they were not created to remedy the disproportionate impact COVID-19 has had on sex workers as the government fails to include them in national relief.

In response to this system failure, sex workers themselves created emergency aid funds and community resources to support one another during this time.98 The Red Umbrella Fund, an organization guided by sex workers to support their human rights,99 created a list of resources by continent and for the United States by state.100 Some resources offer monetary support through funds raised by donation, while others provide information and discussions on harm reduction practices for the community and allies.101 Sex workers have also innovated ways to continue working while practicing social distancing.102 For example, strippers at the Lucky Devil Lounge in Portland, Oregon, started offering a delivery service to take food to customer’s doors.103 Clubs have also created drive-thru experiences so customers can still support the workers while maintaining social
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Other sex workers are relying more on phone sex work or creating OnlyFans accounts to keep some money coming in while COVID-19 restrictions remain in place. But even the more successful sex workers using websites like OnlyFans during the pandemic may be unable to survive on that income alone.

Sex workers, who are disproportionately harmed by the COVID-19 pandemic, should be eligible for governmental support, just like workers outside the industry accessed throughout the pandemic. As previously discussed, there are concrete steps that the government can take to reduce harm to this population of workers. As an example, New Zealand’s solutions prove that supporting sex workers during the COVID-19 pandemic is possible. The country provided sex workers access to the country’s emergency wage subsidy almost immediately. New Zealand also defined guidelines for sex workers to safely work after stay-at-home orders ended, similar to the guidelines published by the sex working community in the United States and connected sex workers with other social support programs, as the public health experts suggested.

IV. A WAY FORWARD: ALTERNATIVES TO THE CURRENT TREATMENT OF SEX WORKERS

The United States government’s treatment of sex workers must change to diminish and, hopefully one day, eliminate the inhumane impact that criminalization and lack of support cause them. The last four years have been
particularly harmful to sex workers, with the passage of FOSTA-SESTA, which pushed sex workers away from online platforms. The government’s exclusion of sex workers from the supports provided throughout the COVID-19 pandemic and failure to implement programs to specifically protect them, has made the problem worse. The U.S. government’s criminalization of sex work must end, and other methods must be implemented. This section will discuss such alternatives.

A. SAFE SEX Workers Study Act

At the end of 2019, in response to the passage of FOSTA-SESTA, Representative Ro Khanna (D-CA) introduced the SAFE SEX Workers Study Act. The bill sought to grant the National Institute of Health (NIH) the ability “to study how FOSTA-SESTA has impacted sex workers’ safety, health, and proximity to violence . . . .” At the FOSTA hearings, Rep. Khanna voiced his concerns that Congress had not considered the devastating impact the legislation would have on sex workers. As a result, he introduced the SAFE SEX Workers Act study, in hoping to provide data that would sway otherwise undeviating members of Congress to consider repeal. But Rep. Khanna specifically noted that the ultimate purpose of such a study would be “[to] help galvanize a movement to repeal FOSTA-SESTA.”

But activists who had been working on getting Congress’s attention for years on the treatment of sex workers in the United States (even before the passage of FOSTA-SESTA) often understand their progress as intertwined with decarceration, prison abolition, and LGBTQ rights movements. As a result, the SAFE SEX Workers Study Act may not be radical enough to
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overcome these systemic harms. However, activist Katie D’Adamo discussed in an interview shortly after introducing the SAFE SEX Workers Act, how, if more radical legislation failed, more damage would result against the movement generally.

Unfortunately, with the COVID-19 pandemic creating further public health concerns for sex workers, legislation like the SAFE SEX Workers Act is too little, too late. The legislation was introduced in December of 2019, without gaining any movement in Washington. Shortly after the bill’s introduction, COVID-19 spread across the globe and further harmed sex workers. Congress continues to sit on this issue and even excludes a population of people who the pandemic has disproportionately impacted from their numerous relief packages. While the proposed legislation did not plan for a pandemic, in the end, the resulting study would miss the mark, as COVID-19 and the government’s simultaneous failure to protect sex workers has already made its impact.

B. Sex Worker Created Solutions

In response to the signing of FOSTA-SESTA and the COVID-19 pandemic, sex workers and sex worker-led organizations have conducted their own studies and shared resources to combat increased health and safety concerns. These resources have been primarily disseminated online and provide a range of information: results highlighting how FOSTA-SESTA
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\[\text{126 See American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, supra note 80 and accompanying text; CARES Act of 2020, supra note 82 and accompanying text.}\]
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has harmed sex workers, COVID-19 relief funds and resources, access to legal help, how to move sex work online, and other harm reduction techniques. Not only do these reports and aids highlight the resilience of the sex working community, but they also reveal that simple solutions exist to quell some of FOSTA-SESTA’s problems.

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, Hacking//Hustling released its report discussing the impact of FOSTA-SESTA and the removal of Backpage.com on sex workers. While the report came from a small sample of sex workers, it essentially did what the proposed SAFE SEX Workers Act set out to do: research the immediate effects of FOSTA-SESTA on sex workers. However, Hacking//Hustling did the research with only a three-year turnaround from the time FOSTA-SESTA was signed to the report’s publication. While a report like this may have been challenging to create, its final production proves that the study proposed in the SAFE SEX Workers Act could be done. And not only is it possible, but it could have started as soon as FOSTA-SESTA came into effect, rather than holding off as a global pandemic continues to ravage an already vulnerable community.

In addition to sex workers’ quick research efforts to determine the effects of FOSTA-SESTA on their community, various community groups promptly responded with various resources to combat the COVID-19 pandemic. Numerous aids were created and released in the first months of stay-at-home orders, including sharing COVID-19 guidelines for sex workers and clients alike, identifying best practices for online work, and providing information on where to find economic resources. Similar to the Erased report, these resources display how alternatives to the current treatment of sex workers during the pandemic (no particularized resources or government assistance) are not the only option.
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Some examples of those resources include Maggie’s COVID-19 guidelines, Vital Strategies’ Harm Reduction Report, and Slixa’s blog posts on staying safe and working online. The resource created by Vital Strategies, a global public health organization, compiled sex worker created resources and offered both financial and health-related advice to sex workers and clients during the pandemic. The document also listed sex-worker-specific COVID-19 guides and other resources for sex workers. One of those additional resources was an article titled “Resources and Tips for Getting What’s Yours and Staying Safe.” That article was published about a month into the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States and helped guide sex workers through COVID-related financial relief options, immediate assistance with bills, imprisonment, and direct harm reduction resources. A few days before that article was published, another piece was published to help sex workers navigate the online space (for those who can access it) during the COVID-19 pandemic. Within just a few weeks of the first stay-at-home orders in the United States, sex workers and their collectives had created guides and resources for one another. So, what prevented the U.S. government from implementing sex-worker-specific relief?
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C. Alternatives Solutions for Protecting Sex Workers in the United States

The author hypothesizes that the continued stigma from the criminalization of sex work is what has prevented the protection of sex workers during the COVID-19 crisis. In fact, since the passage of FOSTA-SESTA, national rhetoric regarding sex trafficking has increased, prompting the legislature to suggest stricter regulation and policing of sex work.146 A conflict between transactional sex workers and victims of sex trafficking also exists,147 making it difficult to create appropriate legislation for either group. But to prevent further systemic harms against sex workers, the United States must move away from criminalization toward a new legal framework.

To protect sex workers, the United States could adopt one of many traditional approaches that would extend benefits and protections to sex workers and improve their lived experiences. For instance, the United States could adopt a neo-abolitionist approach, criminalizing activity that profits off the exploitation of sex workers.148 More radically, and what may be sex workers’ preferred way forward,149 the country could decriminalize sex work altogether.150 To supplement decriminalization, some countries have legalized sex work, implementing legislation that allows the state to regulate sex work.151 These methods have benefits and costs for sex workers, but it is undeniable that any alternative method to the current approach in the United States would change the country’s sex workers’ current conditions.


147 Tung, supra note 33.


149 Blunt & Wolf, supra note 2.

150 See Shamir, supra note 148, at 181–82 (discussing decriminalization); Library of Parliament, supra note 148, at 6-9 (discussing the approach in New Zealand).

151 See Library of Parliament, supra note 148, at 2–4, 9–12 & 19–21 (discussing the legalization approaches in Australia, the Netherlands, Nevada).
Rather than asking what the most practical method forward is, allies to sex workers, particularly those with the power to change laws, must not debate the answer but instead look to the actions sex workers have already taken to understand where to go from here. While there is no retrospective way to prevent the harms that sex workers have faced due to the pandemic, it is apparent that there are immediate ways to support sex workers rather than researching their harms under the SAFE SEX Workers Act.

Of course, like the proposed research in the SAFE SEX Workers Act, the adoption of such frameworks would not happen overnight, and as a result, sex workers would continue to be disproportionately impacted by COVID-19. But movement toward a society in which sex workers are treated equally is the best way forward. But to lessen the impact as quickly as possible, Congress should consider decriminalizing sex work and repealing FOSTA-SESTA. Then, to immediately alleviate some of the harms caused to the community from through the COVID-19 pandemic, the government should implement support programs and spread resources, in the same manner that sex workers already have. Finally, the government must recognize sex workers as equal human beings and include them in conversations about legislation that will affect their livelihoods.152 Recognizing sex workers as working members of society, allowing them to guide decisions about their own lives, and bringing them into the public discourse will better the lived experience of sex workers in the United States.

V. CONCLUSION

Sex workers have been marginalized as long as the United States has existed. After the signing of FOSTA-SESTA, many sex workers were forced offline and pushed deeper into the shadows.153 Then, in 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic and the government’s lack of care for sex workers further worsened the health and well-being of sex workers.154 While some legislators proposed studies to determine the adverse outcomes of FOSTA-SESTA, any

152 See Ceron, supra note 19 (Rep. Khanna stated, “[U]ltimately [sex workers] need to be invited to committee hearings. Their stories are the ones that need to be heard. Their advocacy is making the difference.”). Because the COVID-19 pandemic has increased use of video conferencing, Congressional hearings may be more accessible to sex workers and their allies across the country, making it easier for Congress to hear firsthand what the community needs.

153 Blunt & Wolf, supra note 2.

154 See supra Part III.
such research could hardly overcome the damage done to sex workers as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. As such, the United States must move toward decriminalization, immediately implement resources to support the sex working community through the COVID-19 pandemic and begin to bring sex workers into the conversation.